

August 15, 2012

DMS NOTICE QC - 12 - 10 DISCARD: RETAIN

TO: WEIGHTS AND MEASURES OFFICIALS

SUBJECT: Maly's West Inc. Settlement

Enclosed is a complaint for injunction, civil penalties, and other equitable relief along with the final judgment and permanent injunction pursuant to stipulation issued by the District Attorney's Office of Stanislaus County filed against Maly's West Inc. on June 6, 2012 for overcharging customers pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 12024.2.

The Stanislaus County District Attorney's office led the investigation and was assisted by state and county officials. Maly's West Inc. was ordered to pay a settlement of \$27,500; \$20,753 for cost recovery and \$6,747 in civil penalties.

Stanislaus County should be sure to report these penalties on the County Monthly Report. All participating counties should separately record their individual investigative cost reimbursements in the appropriate columns on the report.

We appreciate the fine work done by both of the District Attorney's Offices along with the State and county investigators that documented and caused to be prosecuted these violations. If you have any questions, please contact Kathy de Contreras, Supervising Special Investigator, Quantity and Weighmaster Programs, Enforcement Branch at (916) 229-3047, or katherine.decontreras@cdfa.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Kristin J. Macey Director

Enclosure

cc: Edmund Williams, County/State Liaison, CDFA



FILED BIRGIT FLADAGER 1 District Attorney 2012 JUN -6 PM 2: 43 Stanislaus County DAVID P. HARRIS, State Bar No. 125076 THE COUNTY OF STANSLAUS 3 Chief Deputy District Attorney JOHN B. GOULART, State Bar No. 125168 4 DEPUT Y Deputy District Attorney 832 – 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Room 300 5 P.O. Box 442 6 Modesto, California 95353 Telephone: (209) 525-5550 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF STANISLAUS 10 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. CIVIL NO. 11 Plaintiff, 12 COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION, V. 13 CIVIL PENALTIES, AND OTHER **EOUITABLE RELIEF** MALY'S WEST, INC., 14 a Delaware Corporation, 15 Defendant. 16 The PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through its 17 attorney, BIRGIT FLADAGER, District Attorney for the County of Stanislaus, by JOHN B. 18 GOULART, Deputy District Attorney, acting on information and belief, allege the 19 following upon information and belief: 20 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 21 1. BIRGIT FLADAGER, District Attorney for the County of Stanislaus, 22 acting to protect the general public and competitors of Defendant MALY'S WEST, INC. 23 (hereinafter Defendant) from unlawful business practices, brings this suit in the public interest 24 in the name of THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORINIA. Plaintiff by this action 25 and pursuant to California Business and Professions Code Sections 17200, 17203, 17204, 26 17206, 17500, 17535, and 17536, seeks to obtain civil penalties, injunctive relief, costs, and 27 restitution for the Defendant's violations of the above statutes. 28

1	2. Defendant at all times mentioned herein, has been engaged in the retail		
2	business of selling salon products and equipment and has transacted business in the County of		
3	Stanislaus and elsewhere throughout the State of California.		
4	<u>DEFENDANT</u>		
5	3. Defendant is a Delaware corporation. At all relevant times, it has		
6	conducted retail business in the County of Stanislaus and elsewhere in the State of California.		
7	The violations of law alleged herein have been carried out within Stanislaus County and		
8	throughout the State of California.		
9	NATURE OF BUSINESS INVOLVED		
10	4. Beginning at an exact date unknown to Plaintiff, but commencing no		
11	later than September 7, 2007, prior to filing this complaint, Defendant, was and at all times		
12	herein mentioned, has continued to do business at various locations within the State of		
13	California as a retail business selling salon products and equipment		
14	5. Defendant in the course of its retail business failed to comply with the		
15	applicable state laws regulating the advertising and labeling of salon products and equipment.		
16	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION		
17	(Misleading Statements) (Violation of California Business and Professions Code § 17500)		
18	6. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1		
19	through 6 inclusive, of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.		
20	7. Beginning at an exact date unknown to Plaintiff, but commencing no		
21	later than September 7, 2007, Defendant made statements, with the intent to induce members of		
22	the general public to purchase goods or services from their stores in violation of Business and		
23	Professions Code section 17500. These statements included published printed advertisements		
24	and in-store representations, explicit and implicit, where the selling prices of certain items were		
25	inaccurate and, as such, were untrue and misleading.		
26	8. The representations and statements made by Defendant as set forth in		
27	Paragraph 7 above were untrue or misleading when made and were known, or reasonably		
28	should have been known, by Defendant to be untrue and misleading.		

1 2	(Unfair Competition)			
3	9. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1			
4	though 8 inclusive of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.			
5	10. Beginning at an exact date unknown to Plaintiff, but commencing no			
6	later than September 7, 2007, Defendant, in certain cases, did charge at the time an item was			
7	sold, an amount that was more than the price that was posted or quoted in violation of			
8	California Business and Professions Code Sections 12024.2 and 17500 and said violations			
9	constitute acts of unfair competition within the meaning of Business and Professions Code			
10	Section 17200.			
11	PRAYER			
12	WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS for judgment as follows:			
13	For a permanent injunction, pursuant to California Business and			
14	Professions Code Sections 17203, 17204, 17535, and 17536, enjoining Defendant from			
15	engaging in any of the following practices:			
16	a. Making untrue or misleading statements in connection with the sale			
17	of items to the public in California, which statements constitute			
18	false advertising within the meaning of California Business and			
19	Professional Code section 17500.			
20	b. Charging at a time an item was sold, an amount that was more than			
21	the price that was posted or quoted price in violation of California			
22	Business and Professions Code Section 12024.2.			
23	2. That pursuant to California Business and Professions Code Section			
24	17536, Defendant be assessed a civil penalty in the amount of TWO THOUSAND FIVE			
25	HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$2,500.00) for each and every violation of the California Business			
26	Professions Code section 17500 as alleged in the First Cause of Action.			
27	3. That pursuant to California Business and Professions Code Section			

17206, Defendant be assessed a civil penalty in the amount of TWO THOUSAND FIVE

1	HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$2,500.00) for each and every violation of the California Business			
2	and Professions Code section 17200 as alleged in the Second Cause of Action.			
3	4. That pursuant to California Business and Professions Code Section			
4	12015.5, Defendant pay the incurred costs of the investigation in this action.			
5	5. That Plaintiff recover reasonable restitution for Defendant's acts of			
6	deceptive advertising and unfair competition.			
7	6. That Plaintiff recover its costs of suit.			
8	7. That Plaintiff be given such other further relief as the nature of this case			
9	may require and this Court deems proper to fully and successfully dissipate the effects of the			
10	unlawful and unfair acts complained of in this Complaint.			
11	Executed this 31st day of May, 2012, at Modesto, California.			
12	BIRGIT FLADAGER, District Attorney			
13	County of Stanislaus			
14	By: Jun 25 - facit			
15	John B. Goulart Deputy District Attorney			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1	BIRGIT FLADAGER FILED			
2	District Attorney Stanislaus County  12 JUN 11 PM 12: 54			
3	DAVID P. HARRIS, State Bar No. 125076  Chief Deputy District Attorney  CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT COUNTY OF STANISLAUS			
4	JOHN B. GOULART, State Bar No. 125168 Deputy District Attorney			
5	832 - 12 <sup>th</sup> Street, Room 300 P.O. Box 442			
6	Modesto, California 95353 Telephone: (209) 525-5550			
7	AND			
8				
9				
10	SUPERIOR COURT, STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	COUNTY OF STANISLAUS			
12	000			
13	175515			
14	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ) CASE NO.: 675565			
15	Plaintiff, ) FINAL JUDGMENT AND			
16	vs. ) PERMANENT INJUNCTION PURSUANT TO			
17	MALY'S WEST, INC., a Delaware Corporation, ) STIPULATION			
18	Defendant,			
19	000			
20				
21	Plaintiff THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA (hereafter, "Plaintiff")			
22	appearing through its attorney, BIRGIT FLADAGER, District Attorney for the County of Stanislaus			
23	by JOHN B. GOULART, Deputy District Attorney, and Defendant, Maly's West, Inc. (hereafter			
	"Defendant") appearing through its attorney FRED J. DIBERNARDO; and;			
24	Plaintiff and Defendant having stipulated to the entry of this Final Judgment and Permanent			
25	Injunction (hereafter, "Judgment") prior to the taking of any proof and without trial or adjudication			
26	of any issue of fact or law; and			
27	The Court having considered the pleadings;			
28				

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

#### JURISDICTION

1. This action is brought under California law, and this Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter and the parties.

### APPLICABILITY

2. This Judgment, including the permanent injunction it contains, is applicable to Defendant through its officers, directors, representatives, and all persons acting on behalf of Defendant within the scope of their authority and duties. Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed to impose liability on any others besides Defendant for the obligations specified in this Judgment.

## INJUNCTION

- 3. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17203 and 17535, Defendant is permanently enjoined from:
- a. Intentionally charging at the time of sale of a commodity, a value which is more than the price which is advertised or displayed in violation of Business and Professions Code Section 12024.2;
- b. Intentionally making any false or misleading statement regarding the price of items offered for sale.
- 4. Defendant shall maintain its price accuracy program that ensures that items sold to its customers are sold at the advertised or displayed price. Nothing in this Judgment, including the injunction portion, shall hinder or prevent Defendant from adopting, implementing, developing, or exploring any new or different procedures, measures, systems, and/or technologies that Defendant believes will improve the effectiveness of its price accuracy program or increase its efficiency without diminishing its effectiveness.

### MONETARY RELIEF

5. Defendant shall pay the cost of investigation incurred by state and local agencies in this case totaling \$20,753.00. Defendant shall pay said sum by checks made payable to the agencies and in the amounts identified below within ten business days after notice of entry of this Judgment has been provided to Defendant's counsel of record in this matter, by delivery to the Stanislaus County

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	9 10 11
	11
	12
	13
)	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

Street, Rm 300; Modesto, CA 95354: a. \$6,746.00 to "California Department of Measurement Standards". b. \$2,900.00 to "Los Angeles County Department of Weights and Measures". c. \$1,600.00 to "Marin County Department of Weights and Measures". d. \$2,490.00 to "Santa Clara County Department of Weights and Measures". e. \$1,120.00 to "Contra Costa County Department of Weights and Measures". to "San Bernardino County Department of Weights and Measures". f. \$1,110.00 to "Santa Barbara County Department of Weights and Measures". g. \$94.00 h. \$810.00 to "Riverside County Department of Weights and Measures". i. \$550.00 to "Sacramento County Department of Weights and Measures". to "Tulare County Department of Weights and Measures". j. \$175.00 k. \$1,653.00 to "Stanislaus County Department of Weights and Measures". 1. \$145.00 to "Fresno County Department of Weights and Measures". m. \$340.00 to "Monterey County Department of Weights and Measures". to "Napa County Department of Weights and Measures". n. \$240.00 o. \$170.00 to "Orange County Department of Weights and Measures". p. \$610.00 to "Ventura County Department of Weights and Measures".

District Attorney's Office, attn: Donna Robinson, P.O. Box 442; Modesto, CA 95353 or 832 12th

6. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 17206, within ten business days after notice of entry of this judgment has been provided to Defendant's counsel of record in this matter, Defendant shall pay a civil penalty of \$6,747.00 by check to the "Stanislaus County District Attorney" and shall send the check to the attention of Donna Robinson, at the address listed in Paragraph 5, above.

7. Defendant denies all allegations of wrongdoing in the complaint. This Final Judgment was entered into as a result of a stipulation of the parties, without admission of fact or law, and without the admission by Defendant of liability, wrongdoing, illegality, or of any fact alleged in the Complaint and is not to be construed as an admission of liability by any party.

7 8 9

#### RELEASE

8. Plaintiff releases and discharges Defendant and its parent, subsidiary, predecessor, successor and related entities and all of their officers, directors, representatives, agents, successors and assignees from any civil claims, suits, demands, or complaints, pertaining to or arising from the alleged unlawful acts and practices described in the complaint filed contemporeaneously with this Judgment, which acts occurred prior to the date of entry of this Judgment. This Judgment is a full, final, and fair resolution, disposition, and conclusion of all alleged violations (whether civil, criminal, or administrative), claims, causes of action, disgorgement, restitution, penalties, investigation costs, prosecution costs, attorneys' fees, and any other form of relief, recovery or matters raised or referenced in Plaintiff's complaint.

### **CURE PERIOD**

9. If Plaintiff seeks to initiate an enforcement action for non-compliance with this Judgment based upon the allegation that Defendant has violated or breached Paragraphs 3 or 4 or any other part of this Judgment, Plaintiff shall first notify Defendant's counsel of record in this matter, in writing of the alleged violation or breach, engage in a reasonable effort with Defendant to informally address and resolve Plaintiff's concerns, and – if correction is necessary – to allow Defendant a reasonable time to correct such alleged violation before attempting to initiate any legal proceeding for such alleged breach or violation of the injunctive provisions of this Judgment.

# RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

10. Jurisdiction is retained for the purpose of enabling any party to this Judgment to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders and directions as may be deemed necessary or appropriate for the construction of or the carrying out of this Judgment.

#### MISCELLANEOUS

- 11. Except as provided in this Judgment, Plaintiff and Defendant agree that they shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.
- 12. Any modifications or changes to this Judgment required by the Court as a condition of filing, approval and/or entry must be approved by Plaintiff and Defendant beforehand. If the Court refuses to enter this Judgment or the Parties do not approve any of the Court's requested

1	modifications to the Judgment, any stipu	lations between Plaintiff and Defendant relating to the
2	proposed Judgment and any other papers su	ibmitted to the Court or signed by Plaintiff and Defendant
3	in connection with the proposed Judgmen	t are null and void.
4	13. The clerk is ordered to immedi	ately enter this Judgment.
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
6	DATED: May 9 , 2012	BIRGIT FLADAGER District Attorney, Stanislaus County
7		BY: Jan S. Forlant
8		JOHN B. GOULART Deputy District Attorney
9		Attorney for Plaintiff, The People of the State of California
11		
12	DATED: <u>May 23</u> , 2012	MALY'S WEST, INC.
13		DV. 1 0.000
14		BY: FRED DIBERNARDO Counsel for Defendant Maly's West, Inc.
	IT IS SO ORDERED:	Country of the control of the contro
16 17	DATED:	MALL TOURSON
18	Dilleb.	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
<b>1</b> 27		
28		
11		